

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F032040 In re Michael M., a Minor

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F031070 People v. Moran

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F032428 In re George M. et al., Minors

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F032487 In re Christopher C., et al.

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F027357 Williams v. Action Surge Company, Inc.

F027459

The judgment is affirmed. Vartabedian, J.

We concur: Stone (W.A.), Acting P.J.; Harris, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F029137 Alcala v. Fresno Chrysler Plymouth

The judgment filed on August 4, 1997, is reversed and the matter is remanded to the trial court for further proceedings.

Upon remand, the Superior Court of Fresno County is directed to vacate its order filed June 3, 1997, granting respondent Fresno Chrysler Plymouth's motion for summary judgment and to enter a new order in accordance with this opinion providing, (1) as to the first and third causes of action of appellant's complaint, that respondent's motion for summary adjudication is denied, (2) as to the second and fourth causes of action of appellant's complaint and appellant's allegations therein of conspiracy, that respondent's motion for summary adjudication is granted, and (3) that respondent's motion for summary judgment is granted "on Fresno Lincoln Mercury's cross-complaint for indemnity and contribution."

Appellant shall recover costs on appeal. Harris, J.

We concur: Stone (W.A.), Acting P.J.; Vartabedian, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]